

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/889,455	01/09/2002	Andrew Schoop	Le A 33 364 3784		
7590 09/28/2004			EXAMINER		
Jeffrey M Greenman			DAVIS, BRIAN J		
Vice President, Bayer Corporati	Patents and Licensing	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
400 Morgan Lane			1621		
West Haven, CT 06516			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/889,455		SCHOOP ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Brian J. Dav		1621					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any,	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustreply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event ply within the statuto d will apply and will e te, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the standard of the st	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	June 2003.			,				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 10-16 is/are pending in the	e application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 10-16</u> is/are rejected.		•						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election req	uirement.		,				
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			d in this National	Stage				
* 0	application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	, ,,						
·	oc the attached detailed Office action for a list	corune cerune	u copies not received	J.					
Attachmen	(s)								
1) Notic	PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa)-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	,	-				

Application/Control Number: 09/889,455

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The objection to the specification, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment corrects the diagrams of examples 48-58 as appropriate.

The objection to the specification because it did not include an abstract on a separate page has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment includes an acceptable abstract.

112 Rejections Maintained

The rejection of claim 14 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record. Applicant's amendment does not address the rejection other than to authorize an examiner's amendment if the prosecution has advanced to the point where the claims are otherwise allowable.

Double Patenting rejections Withdrawn

The double patenting rejection of claims 1-3, 6 and 10-16, outlined in the previous Office Action, is withdrawn. A new double patenting rejection follows.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or

Application/Control Number: 09/889,455

Art Unit: 1621

discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 2 and 9-14 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,291,503. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 10-16 are directed to the same invention as that of claims 1, 2 and 9-14 of commonly assigned US 6,291,503. The issue of priority under 35 U.S.C. 102(g) and possibly 35 U.S.C. 102(f) of this single invention must be resolved.

Since the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office normally will not institute an interference between applications or a patent and an application of common ownership (see MPEP § 2302), the assignee is required to state which entity is the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter. A terminal disclaimer has no effect in this situation since the basis for refusing more than one patent is priority of invention under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) and not an extension of monopoly.

Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a holding of abandonment of this application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Brian J. Davis September 24, 2004